1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC, No. C 12-1971 CW
6	Plaintiff, VERDICT FORM
7	V.
8	ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,
9	Defendant.
ソ	/

We, the jury in the above-titled action, return the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

INFRINGEMENT

1. <u>Direct Infringement</u>: Has Digital Reg proven it is more likely than not that Adobe has infringed any of the following claims? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Adobe Activation
'670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	

	Adobe LiveCycle
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

2. <u>Indirect Infringement</u>: Has Digital Reg proven it is more likely than not that Adobe indirectly infringed any of the following claims? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Adobe Activation
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	

	Adobe Flash
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	

	Adobe LiveCycle
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

INVALIDITY

3. Anticipation: Has Adobe proven that it is highly probable that the following claims of Digital Reg's patents were anticipated, or, in other words, not new? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Anticipated?
'670 patent, claim 32 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Obviousness: Has Adobe proven that it is highly probable that the following claims of Digital Reg's patents would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field at the time the patent application was filed? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Obvious?
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
'541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 32 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

If you found that at least one product infringes at least one valid patent claim (i.e. you answered "yes" as to infringement of a claim by a product in either questions 1 or 2, and "no" as to each of the invalidity defenses regarding that claim in questions 3, 4, and 5), proceed to answer questions 6 and 7. If not, do not answer the remaining questions and proceed to review and sign the verdict form.

DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)

- Reasonable Royalty: For the claims that you found valid and infringed by Adobe's accused products, what royalty amount has Digital Reg proven that it should have received from Adobe?
 - Either an on-going royalty payment: a) Royalty rate: x Royalty base: \$____ = Damages award:\$____
 - Or a one-time payment of: \$_____ b)

Case4:12-cv-01971-CW Document715 Filed09/04/14 Page5 of 5

윤

es District Court	of California
ates Distric	Iorthern District of Californi
United States I	or the Northe

WILLFULNESS (IF APPLICABLE)

6. Willful Infringement: Has Digital Reg proven that it i	S
highly probable that Adobe actually knew, or the evidence w	as
so obvious that Adobe should have known, that its actions	
constituted infringement of a valid and enforceable patent?	

Yes	No	

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review 8 it to ensure it accurately reflects your determinations. Please have the presiding juror sign, date and return this form.

Datod.	- '1' -

Presiding Juror